

A CHARACTERIZATION OF $L_2(2^f)$ IN TERMS OF CHARACTER ZEROS *

Guohua Qian

Dept. Math., Changshu Institute of Technology, Changshu 215500, Jiangsu, P. R. China.

E-mail: ghqian2000@yahoo.com.cn

Wujie Shi

School of Math., Suzhou University, Suzhou 215006, Jiangsu, P.R. China

E-mail: wjshi@suda.edu.cn

February 2, 2008

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to classify the finite nonsolvable groups in which every irreducible character of even degree vanishes on at most two conjugacy classes. As a corollary, it is shown that $L_2(2^f)$ are the only nonsolvable groups in which every irreducible character of even degree vanishes on just one conjugacy class.

Keywords Finite group, character.

2000 MR Subject Classification 20C15

1 Introduction

For the simple groups $L_2(2^f)$ W. Feit given a characterization using the centralizer of any involution in its Sylow 2-subgroups (see [4]). In this paper, we characterize $L_2(2^f)$ using the number of even degree character zeros. For an irreducible character χ of a finite group G , set $v(\chi) = \{g \in G \mid \chi(g) = 0\}$. Clearly, $v(\chi)$ is a union of some conjugacy classes of G . An old theorem of Burnside asserts that $v(\chi)$ is not empty for any nonlinear $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. It makes sense to consider the structure of a finite group provided that the number of character zeros in its character table is small (see [1], [13] for a few examples). Y. Berkovich and L. Kazarin [1] posed the following question:

*Project supported by the NNSF of China (Grant No. 10171074), and the NSF of Jiangsu Provincial Education Department(03KJB110002).

Study the nonsolvable groups with the following “property (*): every irreducible character of even degree has just one zero, i.e., vanishes on just one conjugacy class”. Is it true that $L_2(2^f)$ are the only simple groups with this property?

Our observation is as follows.

Theorem A $L_2(2^f)$ ($f \geq 2$) are the only nonsolvable groups with the property (*).

In deed, we establish the following two theorems.

Theorem B Let G be a finite group. Then G satisfies the property (*) if and only if G is one of the following groups:

- (1) G possesses a normal and Abelian Sylow 2-subgroup;
- (2) G is a Frobenius group with a complement of order 2;
- (3) $G \cong SL(2, 3)$;
- (4) $G \cong L_2(2^f)$, $f \geq 2$.

Theorem C A finite nonsolvable group G has the following “property (**): every nonlinear $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ of even degree has at most two zeros” if and only if $G \cong L_2(7)$ or $L_2(2^f) \times Z$ where $f \geq 2$ and $|Z| = 1, 2$.

In this paper, G always denotes a finite group. For a subset A of G , let $k_G(A)$ be the minimal integer l such that A is a subset of a union of l conjugacy classes of G . For $N \triangleleft G$, we put $\text{Irr}(G|N) = \text{Irr}(G) - \text{Irr}(G/N)$; and for $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(N)$, the inertia subgroup of λ in G is denoted by $I_G(\lambda)$.

Let $\text{Irr}_2(G)$ be the set of irreducible characters of G with even degree.

We shall freely use the following facts: Let $N \triangleleft G$ and set $\overline{G} = G/N$.

(1) For any $x \in G$, $\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}$ is a union of some classes of G ; furthermore, $k_G(\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}) = 1$ if and only if $\chi(x) = 0$ for any $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G|N)$.

(2) If G has the property (*) or (**), then so has G/N .

2 Theorem C

Lemma 2.1 Let $N < M$ be two normal subgroups of G with $k_G(M - N) = 1$. Then M is solvable.

Proof Clearly, M/N is an Abelian chief factor of G ; also, all elements in $M - N$ have the same order p^d , a power of some prime p . For $x \in M - N$, since $M/N - N/N$ is also a G/N -class, we see that $|C_{G/N}(xN)| = |C_G(x)|$. This implies that for every $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G|N)$, $\chi(x) = 0$, and so that p divides $\chi(1)$ because $o(x) = p^d$ (see [14, Lemma 1.1]. By [9, Theorem D] N is solvable, and so is M . $\square$

Lemma 2.2 *For any nonlinear $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, we have:*

- (1) *If G is nonsolvable and $k_G(v(\chi)) \leq 2$, then $\chi_{G'}$ is irreducible, and*
- (2) *if $v(\chi) \subset N$ for some $N \triangleleft G$, then $\gcd(\chi(1), |G/N|) = 1$.*

Proof (1) Suppose that $\chi_{G'}$ is reducible. Then $\chi = \psi^G$, where $\psi \in \text{Irr}(M)$ and $G' \leq M < G$. This implies that χ vanishes on $G - M$, and thus $k_G(G - M) \leq 2$. By [14, Theorem 2.2] G is solvable, a contradiction.

(2) If $v(\chi) \subset N$, arguing as in the proof of [8, Lemma 3.14] we conclude that $|\chi(x)| = 1$ whenever $x \in G - N$. It follows from [14, Lemma 1.1] that $p \nmid \chi(1)$ for any prime divisor p of $|G/N|$. Therefore $\gcd(\chi(1), |G/N|) = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 2.3 *Let G be a non-Abelian simple group. Then there exists $\chi \in \text{Irr}_2(G)$ such that χ is of p -defect zero for some prime divisor p of $|G|$.*

Proof It suffices to consider the non-Abelian simple group G with no irreducible character of 2-defect zero. By [16, Corollary], we may assume G is isomorphic to one of the following groups: A_n , M_{12} , M_{22} , M_{24} , J_2 , HS , Suz , Ru , Co_1 , Co_3 , or B .

If G is isomorphic to A_n , $n \leq 8$ or one of the above sporadic simple groups, we conclude the result by [3]. Suppose that $G \cong A_n$, $n \geq 9$. By [11, Proposition], there is $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ such that $2p \mid \chi(1)$, where p is the maximal prime not exceeding n . Clearly, χ is of p -defect zero since $|G|_p = p$. $\square$

Lemma 2.4 *Let G be a nonsolvable group with the property (**). Then G has the unique non-Abelian composite factor.*

Proof By induction we may assume that $\text{Sol}(G)$, the maximal solvable normal subgroup of G , is trivial. Let N be a nonsolvable minimal normal subgroup of G . If N is not simple, then $N = N_1 \times \cdots \times N_s$ where each N_i is a non-Abelian simple group and $s \geq 2$. Let $\theta_i \in \text{Irr}_2(N_i)$ be of p -defect zero (Lemma 2.3), and set $\theta = \theta_1 \times \cdots \times \theta_s$. Let χ_0 be an irreducible constituent of θ^G , let $x_1 \in N_1, x_2 \in N_2$ be of order p and $y_2 \in N_2$ be of a prime order q ($q \neq p$). Clearly θ^g is of p -defect zero for any $g \in G$, thus $\theta^g(x_1) = \theta^g(x_1x_2) = \theta^g(x_1y_2) = 0$. This implies that $\chi_0(x_1) = \chi_0(x_1x_2) = \chi_0(x_1y_2) = 0$. Since x_1, x_1x_2, x_1y_2 lie in distinct conjugacy classes, we conclude a contradiction. Suppose that N is simple but G/N is nonsolvable. Since $\text{Out}(N)$ is solvable, $C_G(N)$ is nonsolvable and hence contains a nonsolvable minimal normal subgroup M as $\text{Sol}(C_G(N)) = 1$. Set $T = M \times N$. Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}_2(M)$ be q -defect zero and $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$ be of p -defect zero, where q, p are prime divisors of $|M|$ and N respectively. Let $x \in M, y \in N$ be of order q, p respectively. Then for any irreducible constituent χ of $(\psi \times \theta)^G$, we see that $\chi(x) = \chi(y) = \chi(xy) = 0$. Clearly, x, y, xy lie in distinct classes of G , a contradiction. $\square$

Proposition 2.5 *Let G be a nonsolvable group with the property (**). If every nontrivial quotient group of G is solvable, then $G \cong L_2(2^f)$, $f \geq 2$ or $L_2(7)$.*

Proof Let N be the unique minimal normal subgroup of G . By Lemma 2.4 N is a non-Abelian simple group. Also G/N is solvable and $C_G(N) = 1$.

Step 1. N is a simple group of Lie type.

Suppose that $N \cong A_n$ for some $n \geq 8$. Let π be the permutation character of N , and δ be the mapping of N into $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $\delta(g)$ is the number of 2-cycles in the standard composition of g . Set

$$\lambda = \frac{(\pi - 1)(\pi - 2)}{2} - \delta, \quad \rho = \frac{\pi(\pi - 3)}{2} + \delta.$$

By [6, Ch.5, Theorem 20.6], both λ and ρ are irreducible characters of N . Clearly either λ or ρ is of even degree. Let χ_0 be an irreducible constituent of τ^G , where $\tau \in \{\lambda, \rho\}$ is of even degree. By Lemma 2.2(1), $(\chi_0)_N = \tau$. For even n , set

$$a_1 = (1, \dots, n-1), a_2 = (1, \dots, n-2)(n-1, n), a_3 = (1, \dots, n-5)(n-4, n-3, n-2);$$

$$b_1 = (1, \dots, n-3), b_2 = (1, 2, \dots, n-3)(n-2, n-1, n), b_3 = (1, \dots, n-4)(n-3, n-2).$$

For odd n , set

$$a_1 = (1, \dots, n-2), a_2 = (1, \dots, n-4)(n-3, n-2, n-1), a_3 = (1, \dots, n-5)(n-4, n-3);$$

$$b_1 = (1, \dots, n), b_2 = (1, \dots, n-3)(n-2, n-1), b_3 = (1, \dots, n-6)(n-5, n-4, n-3).$$

We see that $\lambda(a_i) = 0 = \rho(b_i)$ for any $i = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore, either $\chi_0(a_1) = \chi_0(a_2) = \chi_0(a_3) = 0$ or $\chi_0(b_1) = \chi_0(b_2) = \chi_0(b_3) = 0$. Observe that a_1, a_2, a_3 (or b_1, b_2, b_3) lie in distinct classes of G . We conclude a contradiction.

Suppose that $N \cong A_7$ or one of the sporadic simple groups. For the case when $G > N$, then $|G/N| = 2$. It implies by Lemma 2.2 that for any non-principal $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$, θ is extendible to $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, and that $k_G(v(\chi) \cap N) = 1$ whenever $\theta(1)$ is even. By [3], we conclude a contradiction. For the case when $G = N$, we also conclude a contradiction by [3].

Note that $A_5 \cong L_2(4) \cong L_2(5)$, $A_6 \cong L_2(9)$. By the classification theorem, N must be a simple group of Lie type.

Step 2. If $G = L_2(q)$ for some odd $q = p^f > 5$, then $G \cong L_2(7)$.

Since N is one of the simple groups of Lie type, by [16] N has an irreducible character χ_0 of 2-defect zero. Let σ be an irreducible constituent of χ_0^G . Observe that $\chi_0^g(x) = 0$ for any $g \in G$ and any $x \in N$ of even order. It follows that $\sigma(x) = 0$ whenever $x \in N$ is of even order. Let $P \in \text{Syl}_2(N)$, and $\Delta = \cup_{g \in G} (P^g - \{1\})$.

We claim that if $G = L_2(q)$ for some odd $q = p^f > 5$, then $G \cong L_2(7)$. If $\sigma(1) \neq p^f + 1$ where $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is of 2-defect zero, then set $\eta \in \text{Irr}(G)$ with degree $p^f + 1$. Let C be a

single cycle of G , and $\Xi = \cup_{g \in G} (C^g - 1)$. Clearly, for any $v \in \Xi$, either $\sigma(v)$ or $\eta(v) = 0$. This implies that $k_G(\Xi) \leq 4$. Since $k_G(\Xi) = (q - 1)/4$ (see [6, II, Theorem 8.5]), we have that $q = 7, 9, 11, 13$. By [3], we conclude that $q = 7$ and $G \cong L_2(7)$.

Step 3. If P is non-Abelian, then $G \cong L_2(7)$.

In this case, we have $v(\sigma) = \Delta \subset N$ and $k_G(v(\sigma)) = 2$. By Lemma 2.2, $|G/N|$ is odd and $\sigma_N = \chi_0$. Therefore σ is of 2-defect zero, and $\sigma(x) = 0$ for any $x \in G$ of even order. This implies that $P \in Syl_2(G)$ and $C_G(t)$ is a 2-group for any involution t . By [15, III, Theorem 5] and P is non-Abelian, G is one of the following groups: $Sz(q)$, $q = 2^{2m+1}$, $L_2(q)$ where q is a Fermat prime or Mersenne prime, $L_3(4)$, $L_2(9)$ or M_{10} .

By [3] M_{10} and $L_3(4)$ do not have the property (**). Note that all elements of order 4 in $Sz(2^{2m+1})$ forms two conjugacy classes, which can be easily verified by [7, Ch.XI, Theorem 3.10]. Therefore $G \cong Sz(2^{2m+1})$ is not the case. Now by step 2, we conclude that $G \cong L_2(7)$.

Step 4. If P is Abelian, then $G \cong L_2(2^f)$, $f \geq 2$.

Since P is Abelian, by [7, XI, Theorem 13.7], N is one of the following groups: $L_2(2^f)$, $L_2(q)$ where $q = 3, 5 \pmod{8}$ or ${}^2G_2(q)$ where $q = 3^{2m+1}$. Recall that $\sigma(x) = 0$ whenever $x \in N$ is of even order.

Suppose that $N \cong {}^2G_2(q)$. Then all elements of even order in N lie in at least three classes of G (see [7, Theorem 13.4]), a contradiction.

Therefore $N \cong L_2(q)$. Then $Aut(N) = N\langle\phi, \delta\rangle$, where $\langle\phi\rangle$ is the group of field automorphisms of N , $\langle\delta\rangle$ is the group of diagonal automorphisms of N . Note that ϕ and δ commute modulo $Inn(N)$, and that if q is even then $\langle\delta\rangle$ is trivial.

Suppose that $N = L_2(q)$ where $q > 5$, $q = 3, 5 \pmod{8}$. Let $I = N\langle\delta\rangle \cap G$ and $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$ of degree $q - 1$. Arguing as the proof of [10, Theorem 2.7], we conclude that θ is extendible to $\psi \in \text{Irr}(I)$ and that ψ^G is irreducible. It follows by Lemma 2.2 that $G = I$. In particular, $G = N$ or $G = N\langle\delta\rangle$. For the case when $G = N$, step 2 already yields a contradiction. Suppose that $G = N\langle\delta\rangle \cong PGL(2, q)$. Let $\theta, \theta_1 \in \text{Irr}(N)$ with degree $q - 1$, $q + 1$ respectively. By Lemma 2.2, θ, θ_1 are extendible to μ and $\mu_1 \in \text{Irr}(G)$ respectively, and $k_G(v(\mu) \cap N) = k_G(v(\mu_1) \cap N) = 1$. Observe that either $(q - 1)/2$ or $(q + 1)/2$ is equal to $2k$ for some $k > 1$, and that $\mu(a) = \mu_1(b) = 0$ whenever $a, b \in N$ with $2o(a)|(q - 1)$, $2o(b)|(q + 1)$. It follows that either $k_G(v(\mu) \cap N)$ or $k_G(v(\mu_1) \cap N)$ is greater than 1, a contradiction.

Therefore $N \cong L_2(2^f)$. Suppose that $G > N$. Then $G = G \cap N\langle\phi\rangle$. For $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$ with degree $2^f - 1$, θ is ϕ -invariant and hence induces to an irreducible character of G . This implies by Lemma 2.2 that G/N is a cyclic group of odd order. Recall that $\chi_0 \in \text{Irr}(N)$ is of degree 2^f , and σ is an extension of χ_0 to G . Note that since $\Delta = \cup_{g \in G} (P^g - 1)$ is a class of

N , it forces Δ to be also a class of G . This implies that $|C_G(t)| = |G/N||P|$ for $t \in P - \{1\}$, and so that $C_G(t) = PA$, where $A \cap N = 1$, $A \cong G/N$. Observe that $\sigma(g) = 0$ whenever $g \in G$ is of even order. It follows that $|G/N|$ is an odd prime q and that Θ , the set of elements of order $2q$, forms a class of G . Let $w \in A$ be an element of order q , and $y = wt$. Since Θ is a class of G , all subgroups of order $2q$ are conjugate. Therefore, $|\Theta| = |G : N_G(\langle y \rangle)|(q-1)$. It is easy to see that $C_G(y) \leq N_G(\langle y \rangle) \leq C_G(t) \cap C_G(w) = C_G(y)$. Then

$$|G : C_G(y)|(q-1) = |G : N_G(\langle y \rangle)|(q-1) = |\Theta| = |G : C_G(y)|,$$

a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 2.6 *Let $N \triangleleft G$ and H/N be a Hall π -subgroup of G/N . If $\eta \in \text{Irr}(H)$ induces to an irreducible character χ of G , then $\chi(x) = 0$ for any π' -element $x \in G - N$.*

Proof It follows directly from the definition of induced character. $\square$

Lemma 2.7 *Suppose that H is a subgroup of $G \cong L_2(2^f)$, $f \geq 3$. Let $P_1 \in \text{Syl}_2(H)$, $P \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$ be such that $P_1 \leq P$. Then, we have*

- (1) *If $|P : P_1| = 2$, then $H = P_1$.*
- (2) *If $P = P_1$, then $P \leq H \leq N_G(P)$.*

Proof It is enough to investigate the maximal subgroups of $L_2(2^f)$ (see [6, II, Theorem 8.27]). $\square$

Proof of Theorem C It suffices to prove that if G is a nonsolvable group with the property (**), then $G \cong L_2(7)$ or $L_2(2^f) \times Z$ where $f \geq 2$ and $|Z| \leq 2$.

Let $N \triangleleft G$ be maximal such that G/N is nonsolvable. By Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.5, N is solvable and $G/N \cong L_2(2^f)$ ($f \geq 2$) or $L_2(7)$. Set $\overline{G} = G/N$, and $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(\overline{G})$ be of degree 2^f . Let $P > N$ be such that $\overline{P} \in \text{Syl}_2(\overline{G})$, and let $\Delta := \cup_{g \in G} (P^g - N)$. Note that for any $f \geq 2$, if $L_2(2^f)$ has nontrivial Schur multiplier, then $f = 2$.

We first claim that if $\overline{G} = L_2(7)$ then $N = 1$. If else, to see a contradiction we may assume that N is minimal normal. Observe that $\Delta \subseteq v(\sigma)$ and $k_{\overline{G}}(\overline{\Delta}) = 2$. It follows that $k_G(\Delta) = 2$, and that $C_G(t)$ is a 2-group, $|C_G(t)| = |C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{t})|$ for any $t \in \Delta$. In particular, there is $t_0 \in \Delta$ such that $|C_G(t_0)| = 4$. This implies that a Sylow 2-subgroup of G possesses a cyclic subgroup of index 2 (see [14, Lemma 1.3]). Thus a Sylow 2-subgroup of N is cyclic, and so either $|N| = 2$ or $|N| = q^r$ for some odd prime q . If $|N| = 2$, then $G \cong L_2(7) \times Z(G)$, which is clear not the case. If $|N| = q^r$ is odd, then P is a Frobenius group with a complement $\cong P/N \cong D_8$, which is also impossible.

We claim that if $\overline{G} = L_2(2^f)$ and $G' < G$, then $G' \cap N = 1$ and $G \cong L_2(2^f) \times Z$ where $|Z| = 2$. It is easy to see that $G/G' \cap N \cong L_2(2^f) \times Z$ where $|Z| = 2$. If $K := N \cap G' > 1$, to

see a contradiction we may assume K is minimal normal. Note that $P/K = P_1/K \times Z/K$ is elementary Abelian and of order 2^{f+1} . Since $2 = k_{G/K}(\Delta) \leq k_G(\Delta) \leq k_G(v(\sigma)) \leq 2$, we conclude that for any $t \in P_1 - K \subset P - N$, $|C_G(t)| = |C_{G/K}(tK)| = 2^{f+1}$. Now for any $\mu \in \text{Irr}(G|K)$, since μ vanishes on Δ , we see that $2|\mu(1)|$ (see [14, Lemma 1.1]), and so

$$v(\mu) = \Delta.$$

If N is of odd order, then P_1 acts Frobeniusly on N , which is clearly impossible. Suppose that N is an 2-group. Let non-principal $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(K)$ be such that λ is P -invariant, and μ be some irreducible constituent of λ^G . If $I_G(\lambda) = G$, then $K \leq Z(G)$, and so $G = L_2(2^f) \times K$ or $G \cong SL(2, 5) \times Z_1$ ($|Z_1| = 2$), which is impossible. If $I_G(\lambda) < G$, then $P \leq I_G(\lambda) \leq N_G(P)$, and then $\mu(x) = 0$ whenever $o(x)|2^f + 1$ (see Lemma 2.6), which contradicts the claim: $v(\mu) = \Delta$.

In what follows, we need only to show that $N = 1$ provided that $\overline{G} \cong L_2(2^f)$ and $G = G'$. If else, to see a contradiction we may assume that N is minimal normal, and so is an elementary Abelian q -group for some prime q .

For any non-principal $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(N)$, if λ is G -invariant, then $N = Z(G)$, and since $G = G'$ we conclude that N is a subgroup of the Schur multiplier of $L_2(2^f)$, and so that $G \cong SL_2(5)$. By [3], $SL(2, 5)$ does not satisfy the property (**), a contradiction. Therefore, $I_G(\lambda) < G$ for any non-principal $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(N)$. Using the same argument as in above, we also conclude that $|N| > 2$.

Let $P < H < G$ be such that $\overline{H} = N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{P})$. We claim that “there is $\lambda_0 \in \text{Irr}(N)$ such that $I_G(\lambda_0) \leq H$, and λ_0^G has an irreducible constituent χ_0 of even degree”.

Case a. $q = 2$, i.e., N is a 2-group.

Since $\Delta \subseteq v(\sigma)$, $k_G(\Delta) = 1, 2$. Note that $C_G(x)$ is a 2-group and that $|C_G(x)| \leq 2^{f+1}$ since $k_G(\Delta) \leq 2$. This implies that for any $x \in P - N$,

$$2^f = |P/N| \leq |P/P'| \leq |P/P'| + \sum_{\eta \in \text{Irr}(N), \eta(1) > 1} |\eta(x)|^2 = |C_P(x)| \leq |C_G(x)| \leq 2^{f+1},$$

and so $|C_P(x)| = 2^f$ or 2^{f+1} , $|N : P'| = 1, 2$.

Suppose that $N = P'$ and let P -invariant non-principal $\lambda_0 \in \text{Irr}(N)$. By Lemma 2.7, we see that $P \leq I_G(\lambda_0) \leq H$, also that λ_0^G has an irreducible constituent of even degree.

Suppose that $|N : P'| = 2$. By the above inequality, we conclude that any nonlinear irreducible character of P must vanish on $P - N$. Note that since $|N| > 2$, $P' > 1$. Let P'/E_1 be a principal factor of P and let $E(\geq E_1) \triangleleft P$ be maximal such that P/E is non-Abelian. Let $V/E = Z(N/E)$ and let η_0 be a nonlinear irreducible character of P/E . Since $P - N \subseteq v(\eta_0) = P - V$, $V \leq N$. Observe that V/E is cyclic by [8, Lemma 12.3] but $V \leq N$ is elementary Abelian, it follows that V/E has order 2, and so that $V = N$ or

P' . If $V = N$, then $(\eta_0)_N = \eta_0(1)\lambda_0$. Thus λ_0 is P -invariant, and this λ_0 works for our claim. If $V = P'$, then $(\eta_0)_{P'} = 2^d\gamma$, where $2^{2d} = 2^{f+1}$. Since η_0 vanishes on $P - P'$, it is easy to check that $\eta_N = 2^{d-1}(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1)$, where λ_0, λ_1 are distinct linear characters of N . Therefore $|P : I_P(\lambda_0)| = 2$. Note that $|P/N| \geq 8$ since $f + 1 = 2d$. Now by Lemma 2.7, we see that such λ_0 also works for the claim.

Case b. $q > 2$, i.e., N is of odd order.

In this case, since P/N is elementary Abelian, it is easy to conclude that there is non-principal $\lambda_0 \in \text{Irr}(N)$ such that $|P : I_P(\lambda_0)| = 2$. Thus, if $2^f \geq 8$, then such λ_0 works for our claim by Lemma 2.7.

For the case when $2^f = 4$, we also conclude that 2 divides $|G : I_G(\lambda_0)|$ since $\overline{P}$ is a T.I subgroup of $\overline{G}$. Now if the claim fails, then $K/N := I_G(\lambda_0)/N \cong D_{10}$ or S_3 . Set $\lambda_0^K = e_1\theta_1 + \cdots + e_s\theta_s$, where $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s \in \text{Irr}(K)$ are distinct. Observe that $e_i = \theta_i(1)$ divides $|K/N|$, that $|K/N| = e_1^2 + \cdots + e_s^2$, and that if $1 \in \{e_1, \dots, e_s\}$ then $2 \in \{e_1, \dots, e_s\}$ by [8, Corollary 6.17]. It follows that $2 \in \{e_1, \dots, e_s\}$. Therefore, there is $\omega \in \text{Irr}(O^2(K))$ such that ω^G is irreducible. Now by Lemma 2.6, it is easy to see that $k_G(v(\omega^G)) \geq 3$, a contradiction. This complete the proof of the claim.

Let λ_0, χ_0 be as in the claim. Then $\chi_0 = \omega^G$ for some $\omega \in \text{Irr}(H)$. Let Ξ be the set of elements outside N of order divisible by $2^f + 1$. By Lemma 2.6, $\Xi \subseteq v(\chi)$ and hence $k_G(\Xi) \leq 2$. Note that $k_G(\Xi) \geq k_{\overline{G}}(\overline{\Xi}) = 2^{f-1}$. This implies that $f = 2$, $\overline{G} = L_2(4)$.

Let us investigate $I_G(\lambda_0) \leq H$. We see that either $I_G(\lambda_0)/N$ is a 2-group, 3-group, or $I_G(\lambda_0) = H \cong A_4$.

Suppose that $I_G(\lambda_0)/N$ is a 2-group or a 3-group. By Lemma 2.6, we can easily conclude a contradiction.

Suppose that $I_G(\lambda_0) = H$. Since λ_0^G has an irreducible constituent χ_0 of even degree, we see that λ_0^P has an irreducible constituent of even degree. This implies that $\lambda_0^P = 2\psi$ where $\psi \in \text{Irr}(P)$ is of degree 2. Let ψ_1 be any irreducible constituent of $(\chi_0)_P$ and let λ_1 be an irreducible constituent of $(\psi_1)_N$. Clearly, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_0^g$ for some $g \in G$. Note that $I_G(\lambda_1) = H^g$, and that either $P \leq H^g$ or $P \cap H^g = N$ since $\overline{P}$ is a T.I set of $\overline{G}$. It follows that either $\lambda_1 = \lambda$ or $I_P(\lambda_1) = N$. Therefore, ψ_1 always vanishes on $P - N$. This implies that χ_0 vanishes on $\Delta \cup \Xi$, which contradicts the property (**). This completes the proof of Theorem C.

3 Theorem A and Theorem B

Proof of Theorem A It is a direct consequence of Theorem C.

Proof of Theorem B It is obvious that all the groups listed in Theorem B have the

property (*). Note that if $\text{Irr}_2(G)$ is empty, then G possesses an Abelian and normal Sylow 2-subgroup. Now we need only to show that if G is a solvable group with the property (*) and $\text{Irr}_2(G) \neq \emptyset$, then either G is a Frobenius group with a complement of order 2, or $G \cong SL(2, 3)$.

Suppose first that there is some $\chi \in \text{Irr}_2(G)$ such that $\chi_{G'}$ is reducible. Then $\chi = \lambda^G$ for some $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(H)$, where $G' \leq H < G$. This implies that χ vanishes on $G - H$, and so $k_G(G - H) = 1$. It is easy to conclude in this case that G is a Frobenius group with a complement of order 2.

Now it suffices to prove that $G \cong SL(2, 3)$ provided that $\chi_{G'}$ is irreducible for any $\chi \in \text{Irr}_2(G)$. Observe that for any $\chi \in \text{Irr}_2(G)$, $v(\chi) \cap G'$ can not be empty, and it follows by Lemma 2.2 that $\gcd(\chi(1), |G/G'|) = 1$. In particular, $|G/G'|$ is odd.

Let $E \triangleleft G$ maximal be such that G/E is non-Abelian. By [8, Lemma 12.3] G/E is a p -group or a Frobenius group. If G/E is a p -group and let nonlinear $\psi \in \text{Irr}(G/E)$, since $\gcd(\chi(1), p) = 1$ for any $\chi \in \text{Irr}_2(G)$, we get that $\chi\psi \in \text{Irr}_2(G)$, which is impossible because $\gcd((\chi\psi)(1), p) > 1$. Therefore G/E is a Frobenius group with a kernel N/E and a cyclic complement, and thus $\gcd(\chi(1), |G/N|) = 1$ whenever $\chi \in \text{Irr}_2(G)$. Clearly, for any $\tau \in \text{Irr}_2(N)$, τ is extendible to some $\chi \in \text{Irr}_2(G)$, and thus [8, Theorem 12.4] implies that both χ and τ vanish on $N - E$, so $k_G(N - E) = 1$. Observe that $k_{G/E}(N/E - E/E) = 1$. It follows that $|N/E| = 1 + |G/N|$. Since $|G/N|$ is odd, we see that N/E is a 2-group. Set $|N/E| = 2^r$ and we have $2^r = |C_G(t)| = |C_N(t)| = |N/E| + \sum_{\eta \in \text{Irr}(N|E)} |\eta(t)|^2$ for any $t \in N - E$. This implies that: “for any $\eta \in \text{Irr}(N|E)$, η must vanishes on $N - E$, so $2|\eta(1)|$ ([14, Lemma 1.1]), and hence η is extendible to G ”.

Clearly we may assume that $E > 1$ and set E/F be a principal factor of G . If E/F is not a 2-group, then the above fact implies that N/F is a Frobenius group with the kernel E/F , and then N/E is of order 2 which is impossible. Thus E/F is a 2-group. Let us investigate the quotient group G/F . Let $T \cong G/N$ be a Hall $2'$ -subgroup of G/F . We see that K acts non-trivially on N/F and fixes every nonlinear irreducible character of N/F . By [12, Lemma 19.2], we conclude that $N'/F = E/F \leq Z(G/F)$. Now [5, Ch.5, Theorem 6.5] implies that $2^r - 1 = |G/N|$ divides $2^e + 1$ for some integer $e \leq r/2$. This yields that $2^r = 4$, and so $G/F \cong SL(2, 3)$.

To finish the proof of Theorem B, it suffices to show that $F = 1$. If else, towards a contradiction we may assume that F is a minimal normal subgroup of G . Suppose that F is a 2-group. Since $|C_G(t)| = 4$ for any $t \in N - E$, there is $x \in N - E$ of order $|N|/2 \geq 8$ ([14, Lemma 1.3]), which is clearly impossible. Suppose that F is a q -group for some odd prime q and set $P \in \text{Syl}_2(N)$. Since $|C_G(t)| = 4$ for any $t \in N - E$, we see that $C_P(x) \leq P'$ for any $1 \neq x \in F$. It follows by [12, Lemma 19.1] that P is either cyclic or isomorphic to Q_8 , which is also impossible. The proof of Theorem B is complete.

By Theorem C, it is not difficult to deduce the following known result ([2]).

Proposition *Let G be a finite non-Abelian group in which every irreducible character has at most two classes of zeros. Then G is one of the following groups:*

- (1) G is Frobenius group with a complement of order 2 or 3;
- (2) $G/Z(G)$ is a Frobenius group with a complement of order 2, and $|Z(G)| = 2$;
- (3) $G \cong S_4$;
- (4) $G \cong L_2(4)$ or $L_2(7)$.

References

- [1] Y. Berkovich and L. Kazarin, Finite groups in which the zeros of every nonlinear irreducible character are conjugate modulo its kernel, *Houston J. Math.*, **24:4**(1998), 619-629.
- [2] M. Bianchi, A. Gillio and D. Chillag, Finite groups in which every irreducible character vanishes on at most two conjugacy classes, *Houston J. Math.*, **26:3**(2000), 451-461.
- [3] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, ATLAS of Finite Groups, Oxford Univ. Press(Clarendon), Oxford and New York, 1985.
- [4] W. Feit, A characterization of the simple groups $SL(2, 2^a)$, *Amer. J. Math.* 82(1960), 281-300; Correction, 84(1962), 201-204.
- [5] D. Gorenstein, Finite Groups, Harper and Row, New York, Evanston, London, 1968.
- [6] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [7] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, Finite Groups III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg and New York, 1982.
- [8] I. M. Isaacs, Character Theory of Finite Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [9] I. M. Isaacs and G. Knutson, Irreducible character degrees and normal subgroups, *J. Algebra*, **199**(1998), 302-326.
- [10] M. L. Lewis and D. L. White, Connectedness of degree graph of non-solvable groups, *J. Algebra*, **266**(2003), 51-76.
- [11] O. Manz, R. S. Staszewski and W. Willems, On the number of components of a graph related to character degrees, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **103:1**(1988), 31-37.

- [12] O. Manz and T. R. Wolf, Representations of Solvable Groups, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [13] G.H. Qian, Bounding the Fitting height of a finite solvable group by the number of zeros in a character table, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **130**(2002), 3171-3176.
- [14] G.H. Qian, W.J. Shi and X.Z. You, Conjugacy classes outside a normal subgroup, To be published in *Comm. Algebra*.
- [15] M. Suzuki, Finite groups with nilpotent centralizers, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **99:3**(1961), 425-470.
- [16] W. Willems, Blocks of defect zero in finite simple groups, *J. Algebra*, **113**(1988), 511-522.